

INTEGRABLE SYSTEM RELATED TO THE RESTRICTED GRASSMANNIAN ON PARTIAL ISOMETRIES

Tomasz Goliński

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Białystok

Białystok, 5.07.2024



Tomasz Goliński and Anatol Odziejewicz.

Hierarchy of Hamilton equations on Banach Lie–Poisson spaces related to restricted Grassmannian.

J. Funct. Anal., 258:3266–3294, 2010.



Tomasz Goliński, Grzegorz Jakimowicz, and Aneta Sliżewska.

Banach Lie groupoid of partial isometries over restricted Grassmannian.

arxiv 2404.12847, 2024.



Tomasz Goliński and Alice Barbora Tumpach.

Geometry of integrable systems related to the restricted Grassmannian.

to appear, 2024.



Tomasz Goliński and Alice Barbora Tumpach.

Integrable system on partial isometries: a finite dimensional picture.

In Piotr Kielanowski, Daniel Beltiță, Alina Dobrogowska, and Tomasz Goliński, editors, *XL Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics*, Trends in Mathematics, Cham, 2024. Birkhäuser.

- fix an orthogonal decomposition (called polarization) of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$$

onto infinite dimensional Hilbert subspaces \mathcal{H}_\pm .

- P_+, P_- : the orthogonal projectors onto \mathcal{H}_+ and \mathcal{H}_-
- block decomposition of an operator A acting on \mathcal{H} :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{++} & A_{+-} \\ A_{-+} & A_{--} \end{pmatrix}$$

DEFINITION

The **restricted Grassmannian** Gr_{res} is defined as a set of Hilbert subspaces $W \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that:

- the orthogonal projection $p_+ : W \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+$ is a Fredholm operator;
 - the orthogonal projection $p_- : W \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-$ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator.
-
- identify the Hilbert subspace W with a projector P_W onto this subspace.

PROPOSITION

$$W \in \text{Gr}_{\text{res}} \iff P_W - P_+ \in L^2$$

- Banach Lie group: unitary restricted group $U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$ acting transitively on Gr_{res} :

$$U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H}) := \{u \in U(\mathcal{H}) \mid [u, P_+] \in L^2\}$$

- Banach Lie group: unitary restricted group $U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$ acting transitively on Gr_{res} :

$$U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H}) := \{u \in U(\mathcal{H}) \mid [u, P_+] \in L^2\}$$

- its Banach Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H}) := \{A \in \mathfrak{u}(\mathcal{H}) \mid [A, P_+] \in L^2\}$$

- Gr_{res} can be seen as a smooth homogenous space $U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})/(U_+ \times U_-)$

BANACH LIE-POISSON SPACE

- $\mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H}) := \{\mu \in L^\infty(\mathcal{H}) \mid \mu^* = -\mu, \mu_{-+}, \mu_{+-} \in L^2, \mu_{++}, \mu_{--} \in L^1\}$ is a predual space to $\mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$

$$\langle \mu ; A \rangle := \text{Tr}_{\text{res}}(\mu A),$$

where Tr_{res} is the **restricted trace** defined on $\mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\text{Tr}_{\text{res}} \mu := \text{Tr}(\mu_{++} + \mu_{--})$$

BANACH LIE-POISSON SPACE

- $\mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H}) := \{\mu \in L^\infty(\mathcal{H}) \mid \mu^* = -\mu, \mu_{-+}, \mu_{+-} \in L^2, \mu_{++}, \mu_{--} \in L^1\}$ is a predual space to $\mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$

$$\langle \mu ; A \rangle := \text{Tr}_{\text{res}}(\mu A),$$

where Tr_{res} is the **restricted trace** defined on $\mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\text{Tr}_{\text{res}} \mu := \text{Tr}(\mu_{++} + \mu_{--})$$

- Tr_{res} is defined on a larger domain than $L^1(\mathcal{H})$ and it coincides with the standard trace Tr there.
- It is a Banach Lie-Poisson space with respect to the Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\}_0(\mu, \gamma) = \text{Tr}_{\text{res}} (\mu [Df(\mu), Dg(\mu)])$$

- Cocycle — Schwinger term

$$s(X, Y) = \text{Tr}(X_{+-}Y_{-+} - Y_{+-}X_{-+})$$

$$X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H}) \oplus i\mathbb{R}$$

$$[(X, \gamma), (Y, \gamma')] = ([X, Y], -s(X, Y))$$

CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

- Cocycle — Schwinger term

$$s(X, Y) = \text{Tr}(X_{+-}Y_{-+} - Y_{+-}X_{-+})$$

$$X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H}) \oplus i\mathbb{R}$$

$$[(X, \gamma), (Y, \gamma')] = ([X, Y], -s(X, Y))$$

- predual of $\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H}) := \mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H}) \oplus i\mathbb{R}$$

$$\langle (\mu, \gamma) ; (X, \gamma) \rangle_{\sim} = \text{Tr}_{\text{res}}(\mu X) + \gamma \lambda,$$

$$\mu \in \mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H}), X \in \mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H}), \gamma, \lambda \in i\mathbb{R}.$$

PENCIL OF POISSON BRACKETS

- Poisson bracket on $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{aligned}\{F, G\}(\mu, \gamma) &= \langle (\mu, \gamma) ; [DF(\mu, \gamma), DG(\mu, \gamma)] \rangle_{\sim} = \\ &= \text{Tr}_{\text{res}}(\mu[D_1F(\mu, \gamma), D_1G(\mu, \gamma)]) - \gamma s(D_1F(\mu, \gamma), D_1G(\mu, \gamma)),\end{aligned}$$

where D_1 is the derivation with respect to the first argument of functions $F, G \in C^\infty(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H}))$.

- The extension is central, there is no derivative with respect to γ in this Poisson bracket. We consider the variable γ as a parameter and obtain a pencil of Poisson brackets on $\mathbf{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H})$

$$\{f, g\}_\gamma(\mu) = \{f, g\}_0(\mu) - \gamma\{f, g\}_s(\mu)$$

for $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbf{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H}))$

$$\{f, g\}_s(\mu) = s(Df(\mu), Dg(\mu)) = \text{Tr}(Df(\mu)[Dg(\mu), P_+])$$

$$\{f, g\}_s(\mu) = \text{Tr} (Df(\mu)[Dg(\mu), P_+])$$

$$\{f, g\}_s(\mu) = \text{Tr} (Df(\mu)[Dg(\mu), P_+])$$

Forgetting about convergence one might be tempted to write

$$\{f, g\}_s(\mu) = -\gamma \text{Tr}_{\text{res}} (P_+[DF(\mu), DG(\mu)]).$$

It looks just like a Mishchenko–Fomenko “frozen bracket”.

Regretfully, that expression doesn't make sense, but allows us to guess the Casimirs.

$$I_\gamma^n(\mu) := i^{n+1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{res}} \left((\mu - \gamma P_+)^{n+1} - (-\gamma)^n (\mu - \gamma P_+) \right)$$

$$I_\gamma^n(\mu) = i^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (-\gamma)^k \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{res}} W_k^{n+1}(\mu) + i^{n+1} (-\gamma)^n \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{res}} \mu$$

where

$$(\mu + \gamma P_+)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma^k W_k^n(\mu)$$

Hamiltonians in involution on $\mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H})$

$$h_k^n(\mu) = i^{n+1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{res}} W_k^{n+1}(\mu), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n$$

$$\{h_k^n, h_l^m\}_0 = \{h_k^n, h_l^m\}_s = 0$$

$$\{h_k^n, \cdot\}_0 = \{h_{k+1}^n, \cdot\}_s$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_k^n} \mu = -i^{n+1}(n+1)[\mu, W_k^n(\mu)]$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_k^n} \mu = i^{n+1}(n+1)[P_+, W_{k-1}^n(\mu)],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W_n^n &= P_+ \\
W_{n-1}^n &= \mu P_+ + P_+ \mu + (n-2) P_+ \mu P_+ & n \geq 2 \\
W_{n-2}^n &= \mu^2 P_+ + \mu P_+ \mu + P_+ \mu^2 + \\
&\quad + (n-3) (P_+ \mu^2 P_+ + P_+ \mu P_+ \mu + \mu P_+ \mu P_+) + \\
&\quad + \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{2} P_+ \mu P_+ \mu P_+ & n \geq 4 \\
&\quad \vdots \\
W_1^n &= P_+ \mu^{n-1} + \mu P_+ \mu^{n-2} + \dots + \mu^{n-1} P_+ \\
W_0^n &= \mu^n
\end{aligned}$$

- homogeneous polynomials

$$H_k^n(\mu) := \sum_{\substack{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0,1\} \\ i_0 + \dots + i_n = k}} P_+^{i_0} \mu P_+^{i_1} \mu \dots \mu P_+^{i_n}$$

of the degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the operator variable $\mu \in \mathbf{u}_{\text{res}}^1$ and degree k in P_+ , where $k \leq n + 1$.

- hierarchy of commuting equations (Lax form)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^n} \mu = i^{n+1} [\mu, H_k^n(\mu)]$$

PROPOSITION

The diagonal blocks μ_{++} and μ_{--} are constant

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^n} \mu_{++} = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^n} \mu_{--} = 0$$

PROPOSITION

The diagonal blocks μ_{++} and μ_{--} are constant

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^n} \mu_{++} = 0 \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^n} \mu_{--} = 0$$

PROOF.

Follows from considering symplectic leaves of $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_s$ or computing the momentum map of the action of the group $U(\mathcal{H}_+) \times U(\mathcal{H}_-) \subset U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$ on the Poisson manifold $(\mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H}), \{\cdot, \cdot\}_0)$:

$$J(\mu) = p_D(\mu),$$

where p_D is the projection onto block-diagonal part

$$p_D(\mu) = P_+ \mu P_+ + P_- \mu P_- \in \mathfrak{u}^1(\mathcal{H}_+) \oplus \mathfrak{u}^1(\mathcal{H}_-)$$



PROPOSITION

In the case $\mu_{++} = 0$ the modulus $|\mu_{-+}|$ is constant along the bihamiltonian flows for all t_k^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq n + 1$.

PROPOSITION

In the case $\mu_{++} = 0$ the modulus $|\mu_{-+}|$ is constant along the bihamiltonian flows for all t_k^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq n + 1$.

PROOF.

For $k = 1$ one can compute that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1^n} (\mu_{+-} \mu_{-+}) = i^{n+1} [(\mu^{n+1})_{++}, \mu_{++}]$$

Now if we assume that the block $\mu_{++} = 0$ for all t_1^n , we see that $|\mu_{-+}|$ is constant.

For $k > 1$ the computations are a bit more involved but still straightforward. □

Consider the polar decomposition of $\mu_{-+} = uB$.

PROPOSITION

Assume that $\mu_{++} = 0$ and $|\mu_{-+}|$ is partially invertible. The equations for the evolution of the partial isometry u assume the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^n} u = i^{n+1} (\mu H_{k-1}^{n-1})_{--} u$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq n + 1$.

Consider the polar decomposition of $\mu_{-+} = uB$.

PROPOSITION

Assume that $\mu_{++} = 0$ and $|\mu_{-+}|$ is partially invertible. The equations for the evolution of the partial isometry u assume the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^n} u = i^{n+1} (\mu H_{k-1}^{n-1})_{--} u$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq n + 1$.

For $k = 1$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1^n} u = i^{n+1} (\mu^n)_{--} u.$$

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -Bu^* \\ uB & D \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -Bu^* \\ uB & D \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1^1} u = -Du$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1^2} u = i(uB^2 - D^2u)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1^3} u = -DuB^2 - uB^2u^*Du + D^3u$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2^3} u = -DuB^2 - uB^2u^*Du$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2^4} u = i(2uB^4 - D^2uB^2 - uB^2u^*D^2u - DuB^2u^*Du)$$

Partial isometry $u : \mathcal{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-$ evolves in such a way that its initial space is constant and final space evolves with time.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1^n}(uu^*) = -i^{n+1}[uu^*, (\mu^n)_{--}]$$

Partial isometry $u : \mathcal{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-$ evolves in such a way that its initial space is constant and final space evolves with time.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1^n}(uu^*) = -i^{n+1}[uu^*, (\mu^n)_{--}]$$

REMARK

The partial isometry u can be extended trivially to a partial isometry in \mathcal{H} . In this way we obtain differential equations on the Banach Lie groupoid of partial isometries $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ generating a flow on $s^{-1}((\ker B)^\perp) \cap t^{-1}(\text{Gr}(\mathcal{H}_-)) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{H}_-)$ is the Grassmannian of all closed subspaces of \mathcal{H}_- .

EXAMPLE: RANK $u = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} B &= b|e_1\rangle\langle e_1|, & \mathbb{R} \ni b > 0 \\ u &= |\psi\rangle\langle e_1|, & \psi \in \mathcal{H}_-, \|\psi\| = 1 \\ D &= \sum d_i|f_i\rangle\langle f_i|, & d_i \in i\mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i f_i$$

PROPOSITION

Evolution of the coefficients $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ of the vector ψ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^n} \alpha_j = i f_{j,k}^n(|\alpha_1|^2, |\alpha_2|^2, \dots) \alpha_j,$$

where $f_{j,k}^n$ are smooth real-valued functions depending on the eigenvalues of the matrices b and d_i .

$$\alpha_j = r_j e^{i\varphi_j}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^n} r_j = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^n} \varphi_j = f_{j,k}^n(r_1^2, \dots, r_M^2) \end{cases}$$

THEOREM

The solution for the case of partial isometries of rank one is the following

$$\alpha_j(t_1^1, t_1^2, t_2^2, \dots) = \alpha_j^0 \exp \left(i \sum_{n,k \leq n/2+1} f_{j,k}^n(|\alpha_1^0|^2, \dots, |\alpha_M^0|^2) t_k^n \right),$$

where $\alpha_j^0 \in \mathbb{C}$ are the initial values.

$$\text{Ad}_\Gamma^*(\mu, \gamma) = g^{-1}\mu g + \gamma(P_+ - g^{-1}P_+g),$$

where $\Gamma \in \tilde{U}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$ projects down to $g \in U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$.

$$\mathrm{Ad}_\Gamma^*(\mu, \gamma) = g^{-1}\mu g + \gamma(P_+ - g^{-1}P_+g),$$

where $\Gamma \in \tilde{U}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{H})$ projects down to $g \in U_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{H})$.

Diffeomorphism

$$\Phi_\gamma : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{res}} \ni W \longrightarrow \mu = \gamma(P_W - P_+) \in \mathcal{O}_{(0,\gamma)} \subset \mathfrak{u}_{\mathrm{res}}^1(\mathcal{H})$$

$$\text{Ad}_\Gamma^*(\mu, \gamma) = g^{-1}\mu g + \gamma(P_+ - g^{-1}P_+g),$$

where $\Gamma \in \tilde{U}_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$ projects down to $g \in U_{\text{res}}(\mathcal{H})$.

Diffeomorphism

$$\Phi_\gamma : \text{Gr}_{\text{res}} \ni W \longrightarrow \mu = \gamma(P_W - P_+) \in \mathcal{O}_{(0,\gamma)} \subset \mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H})$$

PROPOSITION

An element $\mu \in \mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(0,\gamma)}$ if and only if $\frac{1}{\gamma}\mu + P_+$ is an orthogonal projection.

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{H}_+} = \{W \in \text{Gr}_{\text{res}} \mid P_{W_{++}} \text{ is invertible in } \mathcal{H}_+\}$$

An inverse of the chart $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}_+}$ on $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}_+} \subset \text{Gr}_{\text{res}}$ is

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{H}_+}^{-1}(A) = \Gamma(A),$$

where $\Gamma(A)$ is the graph of an operator.

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{H}_+} = \{W \in \text{Gr}_{\text{res}} \mid P_{W_{++}} \text{ is invertible in } \mathcal{H}_+\}$$

An inverse of the chart $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}_+}$ on $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}_+} \subset \text{Gr}_{\text{res}}$ is

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{H}_+}^{-1}(A) = \Gamma(A),$$

where $\Gamma(A)$ is the graph of an operator.

Composing the chart $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}_+}^{-1}$ with the diffeomorphism Φ_γ one obtains a parametrization of the restricted Grassmannian realized as a coadjoint orbit inside $\mathfrak{u}_{\text{res}}^1(\mathcal{H})$:

$$\Phi_\gamma \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{H}_+}^{-1}(A) = \gamma \left(\begin{array}{cc} (1 + A^*A)^{-1} - 1 & (1 + A^*A)^{-1}A^* \\ A(1 + A^*A)^{-1} & A(1 + A^*A)^{-1}A^* \end{array} \right),$$

where $A \in L^2(\mathcal{H}_+, \mathcal{H}_-)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1 + A^* A)^{-1} - 1 & (1 + A^* A)^{-1} A^* \\ A(1 + A^* A)^{-1} & A(1 + A^* A)^{-1} A^* \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1 + A^* A)^{-1} - 1 & (1 + A^* A)^{-1} A^* \\ A(1 + A^* A)^{-1} & A(1 + A^* A)^{-1} A^* \end{pmatrix}$$

PROPOSITION

For initial conditions in the affine coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(0,\gamma)}$, the equations are linear when expressed in the chart $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}_+}$.

PROPOSITION

For initial conditions in the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(0,\gamma)}$, the equations (13) are linear.

PROPOSITION

For initial conditions in the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(0,\gamma)}$, the equations (13) are linear.

PROOF.

$p := \frac{1}{\gamma}\mu + P_+$ and $p^2 = p$ implies

$$\mu^2 = \gamma(\mu - \mu P_+ - P_+ \mu) = \gamma(\mu_{--} - \mu_{++}).$$

μ^2 is constant and block diagonal. Moreover:

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{++}\mu_{+-} & = & -\mu_{+-}\mu_{--} \\ \mu_{-+}\mu_{++} & = & -\mu_{--}\mu_{-+} \\ \mu_{-+}\mu_{+-} & = & \text{const} \\ \mu_{+-}\mu_{-+} & = & \text{const} \end{cases}$$

