

# QUANTUM DIRICHLET FORMS AND THEIR RECENT APPLICATIONS

ADAM SKALSKI

ABSTRACT. We will discuss the notion of classical Dirichlet forms, quadratic forms giving rise to Markov semigroups on the spaces of the form  $L^2(X, \mu)$ , and its quantum generalizations, defined in terms of von Neumann algebras. Some very recent applications of such quantum Dirichlet forms will be presented and further directions of research outlined.

VII School on Geometry and Physics  
24-30 June 2018, Białowieża, Poland

## PLAN OF THE LECTURES

- Lecture 1  **$C_0$ -semigroups of operators and classical Dirichlet forms:**  $C_0$ -semigroups of operators and their generators; quadratic forms; Beurling-Deny conditions; some examples.
- Lecture 2 **Quantum Dirichlet forms:** noncommutative  $L^p$ -spaces (tracial and non-tracial case); quantum Markov semigroups; noncommutative Beurling-Deny conditions.
- Lecture 3 **Recent applications and perspectives:** Haagerup property for von Neumann algebras; quantum convolution semigroups; open problems.

The lectures should be accessible to the audience having a general functional analytic background and some knowledge of operator algebras.

## 1. LECTURE 1

The originating idea of the classical theory of operator semigroups comes from the desire to describe physical evolutions which are in some sense ‘time-invariant’, in the sense that what happens to the system between time  $t$  and  $t + s$  depends only on the time distance  $s$  (and the state of the system at time  $t$ ). In probability such behaviour is usually called the Markov property.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space. A  $C_0$ -semigroup of operators is a family  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of bounded linear operators on  $X$  such that

- (i)  $P_0 = \text{id}_X$ ;
- (ii)  $P_{t+s} = P_t \circ P_s, \quad s, t \geq 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} P_t x = x, \quad x \in X$ .

The last property is usually called the *strong* continuity or *point-norm* continuity. Sometimes we need to talk about  $C_0^*$ -semigroups: if  $Y$  is a Banach space then  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is called a  $C_0^*$ -semigroup on  $X = Y^*$  if it is a family of bounded linear weak\*-continuous operators on  $Y^*$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (P_t x)(y) = x(y), \quad x \in X, y \in Y.$$

**Definition 1.2.** Given a  $C_0$ -semigroup of operators  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $X$  define

$$\text{Dom}(L) := \left\{ x \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{P_t x - x}{t} \text{ exists} \right\}$$

and further  $L : \text{Dom}(L) \rightarrow X$  by the formula

$$Lx = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{P_t x - x}{t}, \quad x \in \text{Dom}(L).$$

We have the following fundamental result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup of operators on a Banach space  $X$ . The map  $L : \text{Dom}(L) \rightarrow X$  defined above, called the generator of the semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a densely defined, closed, linear operator, determining the semigroup uniquely. Further the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\text{Dom}(L) = X$ ;
- (ii)  $L$  is bounded;
- (iii)  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is norm continuous (or uniformly continuous), i.e.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|P_t - P_0\| = 0$ .

In the latter case we have for each  $x \in X$  the formula

$$P_t x = \exp(tL)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tL)^n x}{n!}.$$

In general the following question is difficult: when is a closed densely defined operator  $L$  a generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup?

**Theorem 1.4** (Hille-Yoshida). Let  $L : \text{Dom}(L) \rightarrow X$  be a linear operator ( $\text{Dom}(L) \subset X$ ). The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $L$  is a generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions (i.e.  $\|P_t\| \leq 1, t \geq 0$ );

- (ii)  $L$  is closed, densely defined, and for all  $\lambda > 0$  we have that the operator  $\lambda \text{id}_X - L$  is invertible and

$$\|\lambda(\lambda \text{id}_X - L)^{-1}\| \leq 1$$

(i.e.  $L$  satisfies a certain spectral condition).

How much easier things are if  $X$  is say a Hilbert space (to be denoted  $\mathbf{H}$ )? Let  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{H}$ . Then we can ask when do the limits of the form

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left\langle \xi, \frac{\eta - P_t \eta}{t} \right\rangle$$

exist (obviously this is the case for  $\eta \in \text{Dom}(L)$ ). If further all the operators  $P_t$  are self-adjoint, then the usual polarisation identity implies that all the information is contained in the densely defined *quadratic form*

$$Q(\xi) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left\langle \xi, \frac{\xi - P_t \xi}{t} \right\rangle.$$

Note that then  $Q : \text{Dom}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be a Hilbert space. There is a 1-1 correspondence between the following three classes of objects:*

- (i)  $C_0$ -semigroups  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  of self-adjoint contractions on  $\mathbf{H}$ ;
- (ii) (unbounded) positive self-adjoint operators  $A$  on  $\mathbf{H}$ ;
- (iii) closed, densely defined quadratic forms  $Q$  on  $\mathbf{H}$ .

Very roughly speaking the correspondences are as follows:  $-A$  is the generator of  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ; we have  $P_t = \exp(-tA)$  (in the sense of the functional calculus for self-adjoint operators), and  $Q(\cdot) = \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\|^2$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a space with a (non-negative) measure. A Markov semigroup on  $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$  is a  $C_0^*$ -semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu) = L^1(\Omega, \mu)^*$  such that

- (i)  $P_t 1 \leq 1$ ,  $P_t f \geq 0$ ,  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)_+$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_\Omega f d\mu = \int_\Omega P_t f d\mu$ ,  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)_+$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

Such a semigroup is called symmetric if for all bounded  $f, g \in L^2(\Omega, \mu)$

$$\int_\Omega \bar{f} P_t g d\mu = \int_\Omega \overline{P_t f} g d\mu.$$

It is called conservative if  $P_t 1 = 1$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

All such semigroups restrict/extend to  $C_0$ -semigroups of (positivity preserving) contractions on each of the  $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ -spaces for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

**Example 1.7.** Consider the Euclidean space with the Lebesgue measure:  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \lambda)$  and define for each  $t \geq 0$ ,  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \lambda)$

$$(P_t f)(s) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\|s-r\|^2}{4t}\right) f(r) dr, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This defines a Markov semigroup – a so-called *heat semigroup* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In fact it is a *translation invariant* conservative Markov semigroup, i.e. one of the form

$$P_t f = \mu_t \star f, \quad t \geq 0, f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \lambda),$$

where  $\mu_t$  is a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The generator of the corresponding  $L^2$ -semigroup is the *Laplace operator*: the closure of the map  $-\Delta$ , where

$$(\Delta f)(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_i^2} f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$$

for  $f$  in the *Schwarz space*  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \lambda)$ . The corresponding quadratic form is

$$Qf = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_i} \right|^2 ds$$

for  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \frac{\partial f}{\partial s_i} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), i = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a space with a (non-negative) measure. Denote by  $P_\wedge$  the orthogonal projection onto the closed convex set  $\{f \in L^2(\Omega, \mu) : 0 \leq f \leq 1\}$ . A densely defined closed quadratic form  $Q$  on  $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$  is called *Dirichlet* if for every  $f \in L^2(\Omega, \mu)_{\mathbb{R}}$  we have

$$f \in \text{Dom}(Q) \implies P_\wedge f \in \text{Dom}(Q) \text{ and } Q(P_\wedge f) \leq Q(f).$$

**Theorem 1.9** (Beurling-Deny). *Let  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a space with a (non-negative) measure. There is a 1-1 correspondence between:*

- (i) *symmetric Markov semigroups on  $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ ;*
- (ii) *Dirichlet forms on  $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ ,*

*If the measure  $\mu$  is finite, then the Markov semigroup in question is conservative if and only if  $Q(1_\Omega) = 0$ .*

We can chose whether we prefer to work with real or complex  $L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ . The closedness condition can be replaced by lower semicontinuity, and with forms defined everywhere, but sometimes taking value  $+\infty$ .

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. A family of probability measures  $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $G$  is called a *convolution semigroup* if we have  $\mu_0 = \delta_e$ ,  $\mu_{t+s} = \mu_t \star \mu_s$ ,  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $\int_G f d\mu_t \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(e)$  for all  $f \in C_b(G)$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group (with the Haar measure denoted  $dg$ ). Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between the following classes of objects:*

- (i) *translation invariant symmetric conservative Markov semigroups on  $(G, dg)$ ;*
- (ii) *translation invariant Dirichlet forms on  $L^2(G, dg)$  (modulo multiplication by a positive number);*
- (iii) *convolution semigroups of probability measures on  $G$ ;*
- (iv) *Lévy processes on  $G$ , that is  $G$ -valued stochastic processes indexed by  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with independent, identically distributed increments.*

Note that the maps  $P_t$  as above, given by the prescription

$$(P_t f)(s) = \int_G f(r^{-1}s) d\mu_t(r),$$

map continuous bounded functions into continuous bounded functions: this is usually called the *Feller property* and is of big importance in classical probability.

## 2. LECTURE 2

The aim of this lecture is to present some of the earlier ideas in the quantum setting. We will first replace the space  $(\Omega, \mu)$  by the algebra  $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ , and then consider general, not necessarily commutative algebras which ‘look like’  $L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$  – von Neumann algebras.

**Definition 2.1.** A von Neumann algebra  $M$  is a weak\*-closed unital \*-subalgebra of the algebra  $B(H)$  for some Hilbert space  $H$  (equivalently: a \*-subalgebra  $M \subset B(H)$  such that  $M = M''$  – the algebra is equal to its bicommutant). We say that  $\varphi : M_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a normal semifinite faithful weight on  $M$ , when it is a homogeneous, additive map such that

- (i)  $\mathfrak{n}_\varphi = \{x \in M : \varphi(x^*x) < \infty\}$  is weak\*-dense in  $M$  (semifiniteness);
- (ii) when  $x_i \nearrow x$ , then  $\varphi(x) \leq \limsup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \varphi(x_i)$  (lower semicontinuity/normality)
- (iii)  $\varphi(x^*x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  (faithfulness).

We call such a weight a state if  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . Weights extend to linear functionals on  $\mathfrak{m}_\varphi = \text{span}\{x \in M_+ : \varphi(x) < \infty\}$ ; so normal faithful states can be viewed as special subclass of usual bounded functionals on  $M$ . Finally  $\varphi$  as above is called tracial if for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{m}_\varphi$  we have  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx)$ .

**Example 2.2.** Consider the following examples:

- (i)  $M = L^\infty(\Omega, \mu) \subset B(L^2(\Omega, \mu))$ ,  $\varphi(f) = \int f d\mu$ ;
- (ii)  $M = M_n = B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  (the algebra of  $n$  by  $n$  complex matrices),  $\varphi = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}$  (tracial state), or  $\varphi(\cdot) = \text{Tr}(D \cdot)$ , where  $D$  is a *density matrix*: positive-definite matrix of trace 1;
- (iii)  $M = B(\ell^2)$ ,  $\varphi(\cdot) = \text{Tr}(D \cdot)$ , where  $D$  is a density matrix (positive trace class operator of trace 1), which yields a non-tracial state; or  $\varphi = \text{Tr}$  – which yields a tracial weight;
- (iv)  $G$ -discrete group,  $H = \ell^2(G)$ . For  $g \in G$  let  $\lambda_g \in B(\ell^2(G))$  be a (right) shift operator:  $\lambda_g(\delta_h) = \delta_{gh}$ ,  $h \in G$ . Then define  $M = \text{VN}(G) = \{\lambda_g : g \in G\}'' \subset B(\ell^2(G))$ . Then the canonical tracial state on  $\text{VN}(G)$  is  $\varphi = \omega_{\delta_e}$ , i.e.  $\varphi(x) = \langle \delta_e, x \delta_e \rangle$ ,  $x \in \text{VN}(G)$ . The construction of  $\text{VN}(G)$  generalises to the situation where  $G$  is an arbitrary locally compact group, with  $\varphi$  becoming the so-called *Plancherel weight*. If  $G$  is abelian, we have  $\text{VN}(G) = L^\infty(\hat{G})$  and the Plancherel weight of  $G$  is simply the Haar measure of  $\hat{G}$ .

Given a map  $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we can always define ‘entrywise’ a map  $\Phi^{(n)} : M \otimes M_n \rightarrow M \otimes M_n$ , where  $M \otimes M_n$  is the von Neumann algebra identified as the algebra of  $n$  by  $n$  matrices with entries in  $M$ . A map  $\Phi$  as above is called *positive* if  $\Phi(M_+) \subset M_+$ , and *completely positive* if each  $\Phi^{(n)}$  is positive.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(M, \varphi)$  be as above. A quantum Markov semigroup is a  $C_0^*$ -semigroup of normal maps  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $M = (M_*)^*$  such that

- (i)  $P_t 1 \leq 1$ , and each  $P_t$  is completely positive ( $t \geq 0$ );
- (ii)  $\varphi(f) = \varphi(P_t f)$ ,  $f \in M_+$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

The symmetry condition becomes in general more complicated! We can associate to a pair  $(M, \varphi)$  non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces, but the way of doing this is non-trivial.

If  $\varphi$  is tracial, the procedure is simpler. We can just consider

$$\mathfrak{m}^{(p)} := \{x \in M : \varphi(|x|^p) < \infty\}, \quad p \in [1, \infty)$$

and complete it with respect to the norm

$$\|x\|_p = \varphi(|x|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

However, when  $\varphi$  is not tracial, this is not a norm!

There are several constructions in the non-tracial case, we will use the one due to Haagerup, based on the Tomita-Takesaki theory, concerning the behaviour of the non-tracial states or weights. We will just list some properties of the resulting Banach spaces:

- $L^p(M, \varphi)$  are certain spaces of (unbounded) operators on a larger Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , closed under taking adjoints and positive parts;
- we have natural isomorphisms  $L^\infty(M, \varphi) \approx M$ ,  $L^1(M, \varphi) \approx M_*$ ;
- but there are trivial intersections between different spaces, for example  $L^\infty(M, \varphi) \cap L^2(M, \varphi) = \{0\}$ ;
- there are different ways of getting from  $M$  into  $L^2(M, \varphi)$ . Advanced Tomita-Takesaki theory allows us in a sense to write always  $\varphi(\cdot) = \text{Tr}(D\cdot)$ , where  $D$  is a certain ‘density-like’ operator. Symbolically we may describe the *GNS-embedding*  $x \mapsto xD^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and the *KMS-embedding* as  $x \mapsto D^{\frac{1}{4}}xD^{\frac{1}{4}}$ . We will denote the latter by  $\iota^{(2)} : \mathfrak{n}_\varphi \rightarrow L^2(M, \varphi)$ .

All that originates from the automorphism group  $\sigma_t$  acting on  $M$ , the so-called *modular automorphism group*, ruling the non-traciality of  $\varphi$ :

$$\varphi(xy) = \varphi(y\sigma_t(x)),$$

for ‘good’  $x, y \in M$ . We have in fact

$$\sigma_t = D^{it}xD^{-it}, \quad x \in M, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consider the following informal computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(xy) &= \text{Tr}(Dxy) = \text{Tr}(yDx) = \text{Tr}(y(DxD^{-1})D) = \text{Tr}(Dy(D^{-1}xD)) \\ &= \varphi(y(D^{-1}xD)) = \varphi(y\sigma_t(x)) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.4.** A quantum Markov semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $(M, \varphi)$  is said to be KMS-symmetric if for each  $t \geq 0$  the prescription

$$P_t^{(2)}(\iota^{(2)}(x)) = \iota^{(2)}(P_t x), \quad x \in \mathfrak{n}_\varphi$$

is well-defined and yields a bounded self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(M, \varphi)$ .

**Example 2.5.** If  $(M, \varphi) = (L^\infty(\Omega, \mu), \int \cdot d\mu)$ , then quantum Markov semigroups on  $(M, \varphi)$  are precisely the Markov semigroups on  $(\Omega, \mu)$  discussed in Lecture 1.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $G$  be again a discrete group,  $M = \text{VN}(G)$ ,  $\varphi$ -canonical trace. Suppose that  $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a conditionally negative definite symmetric function, i.e. a function such that

- (i)  $\forall g \in G \quad \psi(g) = \psi(g^{-1})$ ;
- (ii)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \forall \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C} \forall g_1, \dots, g_n \in G \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0 \implies \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{\lambda}_i \lambda_j \psi(g_i^{-1}g_j) \geq 0$ .

Then the family of maps  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\text{VN}(G)$  given by the formulas

$$P_t(\lambda_g) = \exp(-t\psi)\lambda_g, \quad g \in G, t \geq 0,$$

forms a quantum Markov semigroup of *Herz-Schur multipliers*.

**Example 2.7.** If  $(M, \varphi) = (M_n, \text{tr})$ , then every quantum Markov semigroup on  $(M, \varphi)$  is norm continuous and we can in fact characterise the generators:

$$P_t x = \exp(tL)x, \quad x \in M_n, t \geq 0,$$

with  $L$  of the *Lindblad* or *Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan* form:

$$Lx = -i[H, x] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} ([V_{\alpha}x, V_{\alpha}^*] + [V_{\alpha}, xV_{\alpha}^*]), \quad x \in M_n.$$

Here  $H = H^* \in M_n$ ,  $V_{\alpha} \in M_n$ ,  $\sum_{\alpha} [V_{\alpha}, V_{\alpha}^*] = 0$ , and  $[A, B] := AB - BA$  denote the *commutators*. There are other variations of this form, for example:

$$Lx = -i[H, x] + E(x) - \frac{1}{2} \{E(1), x\}, \quad x \in M_n,$$

where  $H$  is as before,  $E : M_n \rightarrow M_n$  is completely positive and  $\{A, B\} := AB + BA$  denotes the *anticommutator*.

We are ready to discuss the Dirichlet forms in the quantum context.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(M, \varphi)$  be as above. Denote by  $P_{\wedge}$  the orthogonal projection onto the closed convex set  $\{f \in L^2(M, \varphi) : 0 \leq f \leq D^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$ . A densely defined closed quadratic form  $Q$  on  $L^2(M, \varphi)$  is called Dirichlet if for every  $f \in L^2(M, \varphi)_{\mathbb{R}}$  we have

$$f \in \text{Dom}(Q) \implies P_{\wedge}f \in \text{Dom}(Q) \text{ and } Q(P_{\wedge}f) \leq Q(f).$$

The form  $Q$  as above is called completely Dirichlet if for every  $n$  the natural associated quadratic form on  $L^2(M \otimes M_n, \varphi \otimes \text{tr}_n)$  is Dirichlet.

**Theorem 2.9** (Goldstein+Lindsay, Cipriani, AS+ Viselter). *Let  $(M, \varphi)$  be as above. There is a 1-1 correspondence between:*

- (i) *quantum KMS-symmetric Markov semigroups on  $(M, \varphi)$ ;*
- (ii) *Dirichlet forms on  $L^2(M, \varphi)$ .*

*If  $\varphi$  is a state, then the quantum Markov semigroup in question is conservative if and only if  $Q(D^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [BrO] N. Brown and N. Ozawa, “ $C^*$ -Algebras and finite dimensional approximations”, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 88. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [CaS] M. Caspers and A. Skalski, The Haagerup approximation property for von Neumann algebras via quantum Markov semigroups and Dirichlet forms, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **336** (2015), no. 3, 1637–1664.
- [COST] M. Caspers, R. Okayasu, A. Skalski and R. Tomatsu, Generalisations of the Haagerup approximation property to arbitrary von Neumann algebras, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **352** (2014), no. 6, 507–510.
- [CCJGV] P.A. Cherix, M. Cowling, P. Jolissaint, P. Julg and A. Valette, “Groups with the Haagerup property. Gromov’s a-T-menability”, Progress in Mathematics, 197, Basel, 2001.
- [Cho] M. Choda, Group factors of the Haagerup type, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **59** (1983) 174–177.
- [Cip] F. Cipriani, Dirichlet forms and Markovian semigroups on standard forms of von Neumann algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **147** (1997), no. 2, 259–300.
- [CFK] F. Cipriani, U. Franz and A. Kula, Symmetries of Lévy processes on compact quantum groups, their Markov semigroups and potential theory, *J. Funct. Anal.* **266** (2014), no. 5, 2789–2844.
- [CiS] F. Cipriani and J.-L. Sauvageot, Derivations as square roots of Dirichlet forms, *J. Funct. Anal.* **201** (2003), no. 1, 78–120.
- [Da<sub>1</sub>] E.B. Davies, “Quantum theory of open systems,” Academic Press, London-New York, 1976.
- [Da<sub>2</sub>] E.B. Davies, “One-parameter semigroups,” London Mathematical Society Monographs, vol. 15, Academic Press, Inc., London-New York, 1980.
- [DFSW] M. Daws, P. Fima, A. Skalski and S. White, The Haagerup property for locally compact quantum groups, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **711** (2016), 189–229.
- [FOT] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, and M. Takeda, “Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes,” De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011.
- [GL<sub>1</sub>] S. Goldstein and J.M. Lindsay, KMS-symmetric Markov semigroups, *Math. Z.* **219** (1995), no. 4, 591–608.
- [GL<sub>2</sub>] S. Goldstein and J.M. Lindsay, Markov semigroups KMS-symmetric for a weight, *Math. Ann.* **313** (1999), no. 1, 39–67.
- [Ha<sub>1</sub>] U. Haagerup, An example of a nonnuclear  $C^*$ -algebra, which has the metric approximation property, *Invent. Math.* **50** (1978/79), no. 3, 279–293.
- [Ha<sub>2</sub>] U. Haagerup,  $L^p$ -spaces associated with an arbitrary von Neumann algebra, in *Algèbres d’opérateurs et leurs applications en physique mathématique* (Proc. Colloq., Marseille, 1977), Colloq. Internat. CNRS, vol. 274, CNRS, Paris, 1979, pp. 175–184
- [Jol] P. Jolissaint, Haagerup approximation property for finite von Neumann algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **48** (2002), no. 3, 549–571.
- [KV] J. Kustermans and S. Vaes, Locally compact quantum groups, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **33** (2000), 837–934.
- [MR] Z.-M. Ma and M. Röckner, ”An Introduction to the Theory of (Non-symmetric) Dirichlet Forms,” Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1992.
- [SV] A. Skalski and A. Viselter, Convolution semigroups on locally compact quantum groups and non-commutative Dirichlet forms, *J. Math. Pures. Appl.*, to appear (available at arXiv:1709.04873).
- [Ter] M. Terp,  $L^p$  spaces associated with von Neumann algebras. Notes, *Report No. 3a + 3b*, Københavns Universitets Matematiske Institut, Juni 1981.