# Geometry and Topology on the Bethe Ansatz for the Periodic ASEP model

#### Axel Saenz

University of California, Davis

July 2, 2016

Axel Saenz Geometry and Topology on the Bethe Ansatz for the Periodic A

- Thank you for letting me speak!
- Joint work with Eric Brattain (UC Davis) and Norman Do (Monash University).
- The Completeness of the Bethe Ansatz for the Periodic ASEP (*arXiv:1511.03762v1*).

- Thank you for letting me speak!
- Joint work with Eric Brattain (UC Davis) and Norman Do (Monash University).
- The Completeness of the Bethe Ansatz for the Periodic ASEP (*arXiv:1511.03762v1*).

#### Theorem (E. Brattain, N. Do, A.S. 2015)

The Bethe ansatz is complete for the periodic ASEP model.

I will introduce the components of Theorem 1 :

- ASEP Model
  - Continuous Markov Process.
- Bethe Ansatz
  - Educated guess of the solution.
- Proof of Theorem 1 (Sketch!)
  - Counting all the solutions given by the ansatz.



Figure : ASEP on a line.

- A asymmetric
- S simple
- E exclusion
- P process



Figure : ASEP on a line.

- A asymmetric: non-equilibrium statistical mechanics.
- S simple: the hops are either one position the left or right.
- E exclusion: no two particles can be on the same position.
- P process: continuous Markov process.



Figure : ASEP on a line.

- A asymmetric: non-equilibrium statistical mechanics.
- S simple: the hops are either one position the left or right.
- E exclusion: no two particles can be on the same position.
- P process: continuous Markov process.

The advantage of this model is that while in spite of its simplicity, it carries a rich structure. Namely, it's an *Exactly Solvable Model* through the Bethe ansatz. This has made this model the poster child for non-equilibrium mechanics (e.g. driven lattice gases with hard core repulsion) and the KPZ universality class (e.g. 1 + 1 random growth interfaces).



Figure : ASEP on a line.

- L sites.
- N particles.
- Particles jump with probability p to the right and probability q to the left.
- The configuration of the system is represented by the position of the particles.



Figure : ASEP on a line.

- L sites.
- N particles.
- Particles jump with probability p to the right and probability q to the left.
- The configuration of the system is represented by the position of the particles.
  - i.e. (1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 14)



Figure : ASEP on a ring.

Our state space on a ring of length L is  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{L} \mathbb{C}_{i}^{2}$  with a basis  $\{e_{S} | S \subset [L]\}$ , where  $\mathbb{C}_{i}^{2} = \langle e_{i}^{+}, e_{i}^{-} \rangle$  and

$$e_{S} = \left(\bigotimes_{i \in S} e_{i}^{+}\right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i \in [L] \setminus S} e_{i}^{-}\right)$$

We have conservation of particles. We fix N and consider  $span\{u_S | |S| = N\}$  the space of N particles on a ring of length L. We use the notation

$$\langle x_1,\ldots,x_N|=e_{\{x_1,\ldots,x_N\}}$$

to make the coordinate dependence more explicit.

### Periodic ASEP Model



Figure : ASEP on a line.

• The transition rate matrix governing the process is a sum of local jumps operators

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{L} M_{i}, \qquad M_{i} : \mathbb{C}_{i}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{i+1}^{2} \to \mathbb{C}_{i}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{i+1}^{2}$$
$$M_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q & p & 0 \\ 0 & q & -p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• The fact that the sum of each column is zero represents the conservation of probability.



Figure : ASEP on a ring.

Given a state  $\sum_{\vec{x}} \langle x_1, \ldots, x_N | u(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t)$  at time t, the state at time t + dt is given by

$$\left(\sum_{\vec{x}} \langle x_1, \ldots, x_N | u(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t)\right) M dt = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle x_1, \ldots, x_N | du(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t + dt)$$

The coefficients  $u(x_1, \ldots, x_N; t)$  can be thought of as the probability of being in state  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_N \rangle$  at time t given some initial conditions determined by  $u(x_1, \ldots, x_N; 0)$ .

The transition rate matrix translates into the *master equation* on the coefficients

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ pu(x_i-1)\tilde{\delta}_{i,i-1} + qu(x_i+1)\tilde{\delta}_{i+1,i} - pu(x_i)\tilde{\delta}_{i+1,i} - qu(x_i)\tilde{\delta}_{i,i-1} \right]$$

- $u(x_i \pm 1)$  is a shorthand for  $u(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i \pm 1, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_N; t)$ .
- Define  $\tilde{\delta}_{i,j} = 0$  if  $x_i = x_j + 1$  and  $\tilde{\delta}_{i,j} = 1$  otherwise.

Periodic boundary conditions impose:

$$u(x_1,\ldots,x_N;t)=u(x_2,\ldots,x_N,x_i+L;t).$$

• The initial state  $Y = (y_1, \ldots, y_N)$  imposes:

$$u(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\delta_{X,Y}.$$



Figure : Hans Bethe

We use the Bethe ansatz to solve the eigenvalue problem.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{\vec{x}}\langle \vec{x}|u(\vec{x};t)=E\sum_{\vec{x}}\langle \vec{x}|u(\vec{x};t)=\left(\sum_{\vec{x}}\langle \vec{x}|u(\vec{x};t)\right)M.$$

Method introduced to by H. Bethe in 1931 to solve the 1D Heisenberg XXZ spin-chain model. Since, it has been used in many 1D models that are restricted by some boundary conditions (e.g. periodic boundaries, reservoirs at the boundaries, monodromies).

• If all the particles are far apart from each other, there is no interactions. The master equation is:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ pu(x_i - 1) \tilde{\delta}_{i,i-1} + qu(x_i + 1) \tilde{\delta}_{i+1,i} - pu(x_i) \tilde{\delta}_{i+1,i} - qu(x_i) \tilde{\delta}_{i,i-1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ pu(x_i - 1) + qu(x_i + 1) - pu(x_i) - qu(x_i) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ pu(x_i - 1) + qu(x_i + 1) - u(x_i) \right] \end{split}$$

• The interactions are recorded by the boundary conditions:

$$pu(x_i, x_i) + qu(x_i + 1, x_i + 1) - u(x_i, x_i + 1) = 0$$

The Bethe ansatz proposes solutions of the form:

$$u_{\vec{z}}(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} A_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^N z_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i}.$$

- $S_N$  is the symmetric group on N elements.
- The solutions are parametrized by vectors  $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ .
- The coefficients  $A_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{C}$  are set to satisfy the boundary conditions.

$$u_{\vec{z}}(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} A_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^N z_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i}.$$

Recall, the boundary conditions:

$$pu(x_i, x_i) + qu(x_i + 1, x_i + 1) - u(x_i, x_i + 1) = 0$$

$$u_{\vec{z}}(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} A_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^N z_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i}.$$

Recall, the boundary conditions:

$$pu(x_i, x_i) + qu(x_i + 1, x_i + 1) - u(x_i, x_i + 1) = 0$$

Then:

$$A_{\sigma}(z_1,\ldots,z_N) = \prod_{\text{inversions }(i,j)} - rac{p+qz_iz_j-z_i}{p+qz_iz_j-z_j}$$

• An inversion of a permutation  $\sigma$  is a pair (i, j) such that i < j and  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ .

$$u_{\vec{z}}(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} A_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^N z_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i},$$

and the coefficients:

$$A_{\sigma}(z_1,\ldots,z_N) = \prod_{\text{inversions }(i,j)} - \frac{p + qz_iz_j - z_i}{p + qz_iz_j - z_j}$$

The periodicity constraint:

$$u(x_1,\ldots,x_N)=u(x_2,\ldots,x_N,x_i+L).$$

$$u_{\vec{z}}(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} A_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^N z_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i},$$

and the coefficients:

$$A_{\sigma}(z_1,\ldots,z_N) = \prod_{\text{inversions }(i,j)} - rac{p+qz_iz_j-z_i}{p+qz_iz_j-z_j}$$

The periodicity constraint:

$$u(x_1,\ldots,x_N)=u(x_2,\ldots,x_N,x_i+L).$$

Then, we obtain the Bethe ansatz equations:

$$z_j^L = (-1)^{N-1} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{p + qz_j z_i - z_j}{p + qz_j z_i - z_i}$$
 for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ 

#### Theorem (E. Brattain, N. Do, A.S. 2015)

The Bethe ansatz is complete on the periodic ASEP model for generic parameters L, N, and p.

#### Theorem (E. Brattain, N. Do, A.S. 2015)

The Bethe ansatz is complete on the periodic ASEP model for generic parameters L, N, and p.

- We treat *p* as a complex number.
- The generic condition states that *p* lies in a Zariski open set, and no conditions on *L* or *N*.
- We show that there exists  $\binom{L}{N}$  non-trivial solutions to the Bethe ansatz.
- The solutions are linearly independent.

Lets consider the two particle case (i.e. N = 2) to highlight our expectations and possible difficulties. The proposed solutions are:

$$u(x_1, x_2) = A_{12}z_1^{x_1}z_2^{x_2} + A_{21}z_1^{x_2}z_2^{x_1},$$

with the coefficients related by:

$$A_{12} = -A_{21} \frac{p + qz_1z_2 - z_1}{p + qz_1z_2 - z_2},$$

and the Bethe equations:

$$z_1^L = -\frac{p+qz_1z_2-z_1}{p+qz_1z_2-z_2}, \qquad z_2^L = -\frac{p+qz_1z_2-z_2}{p+qz_1z_2-z_1}.$$

Note that if  $z = z_1 = z_2$ 

- $A_{12}z_1^{x_1}z_2^{x_2} + A_{21}z_1^{x_2}z_2^{x_1} = (A_{12} + A_{21})z^{x_1 + x_2}.$
- $A_{12} = -A_{21} \frac{p+qz_1z_2-z_1}{p+qz_1z_2-z_2} = -A_{21}.$
- So,  $u(x_1, x_2) = 0$ .

This is what we call an *inadmissible* solution. In the two particle case, we show that all the inadmissible solutions are of the form  $z_1 = z_2$ , and in for general L and N, we classify all the *inadmissible* conditions. Back in the N = 2, case

$$(z_1z_2)^L = \left(-\frac{p+qz_1z_2-z_1}{p+qz_1z_2-z_2}\right)\left(-\frac{p+qz_1z_2-z_2}{p+qz_1z_2-z_1}\right) = 1.$$

Thus, in solving the Bethe equations, we will let  $z_2 = \epsilon z_1^{-1}$  for some  $L^{th}$  root of unity.

Given the Bethe equations:

$$z_1^L = -\frac{p+qz_1z_2-z_1}{p+qz_1z_2-z_2}, \qquad z_2^L = -\frac{p+qz_1z_2-z_2}{p+qz_1z_2-z_1},$$

and  $z_2 = \epsilon z_1^{-1}$  for some  $L^{th}$  root of unity. We have:

$$z_1^L = -rac{p+q\epsilon-z_1}{p+q\epsilon-\epsilon z_1^{-1}},$$

which is equivalent to the degree L polynomial

$$(p+\epsilon q)z_1^L - \epsilon z_1^{L-1} - z_1(p+\epsilon q) = (z_1 \pm \epsilon^{1/2})f(z_1,p)$$

The factor  $(z_1 \pm \epsilon^{1/2})$  correspond to the *inadmissible* solutions and the  $\pm$  is determined by the parity of *L*.

In order to make the previous argument precise we recall:

#### Theorem (Lefschetz)

Given two (differentiable) functions  $\psi, \phi : C \to X$  on compact orientable manifolds. The number of solutions (up to multiplicity) of the equation  $\psi(pt) = \phi(pt)$  is given by the Lefschetz coincidence number:

$$\lambda(\psi,\phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X} (-1)^n \operatorname{Tr}(\psi_n \phi^n).$$

where  $\psi_n : H_n(C) \to H_n(X)$  is the pushforward in homology and  $\phi^n : H_n(X) \to H_n(C)$  is the Poincaré dual of the pullback in cohomology.

## Example: Lefschetz Theorem on Two Particles

Let 
$$X = C = \mathbb{C}^3$$
 and the define  $\psi, \phi : C \to X$  by  
 $\phi(z_1, z_2, w_{12}) = (z_1^L, z_2^L, w_{12})$   
 $\psi(z_1, z_2, w_{12}) = (w_{12}, w_{12}^{-1}, -\frac{p + qz_1z_2 - z_1}{p + qz_1z_2 - z_2}).$ 

The coincidence equation  $\phi(pt) = \psi(pt)$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^L &= w_{12} \\ z_2^L &= w_{12}^{-1} \\ w_{12} &= -\frac{p+qz_1z_2-z_1}{p+qz_1z_2-z_2}, \end{aligned}$$

equivalent to the Bethe equations

$$z_1^L = -\frac{p+qz_1z_2-z_1}{p+qz_1z_2-z_2}, \qquad z_2^L = -\frac{p+qz_1z_2-z_2}{p+qz_1z_2-z_1},$$

For 
$$X = C = \mathbb{C}^3$$
 and  $\psi, \phi : C \to X$  by  
 $\phi(z_1, z_2, w_{12}) = (z_1^L, z_2^L, w_{12})$   
 $\psi(z_1, z_2, w_{12}) = (w_{12}, w_{12}^{-1}, -\frac{p + qz_1z_2 - z_1}{p + qz_1z_2 - z_2}).$ 

The Lefschetz Theorem doesn't quite apply since  $\mathbb{C}^3$  is not compact and the third component of  $\phi$  is not well-defined on the locus:

 $p + qz_1z_2 - z_2 = 0 = p + qz_1z_2 - z_1.$ 

We fix this by letting  $\mathbb{C}^3 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{CP}^1)^3 = X$  and C = Blow(X).

The next step is to compute the trace of the maps

 $\psi_n: H_n(C) \to H_n(X)$  $\phi^n: H_n(X) \to H_n(C).$ 

In this case, we have  $H_*(X) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^6 H_n(X) \cong \mathbb{C}^8$  and  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^6 H_n(C) \cong H_*(X) \oplus H$  and the Lefschetz coincidence  $\lambda(\psi, \phi)$  is the trace of an 8 by 8 matrix. In the end, we establish a bijection

 $\lambda(\psi, \phi) = #\{$ Rooted Planted Forest $\}.$ 

Along with combinatorics, this establishes Theorem 1.

# Thank you for your attention!

# Random Growth



Figure : Random Growth.

- Occupied position is to slope  $-\frac{1}{2}$  as vacant position is to slope  $\frac{1}{2}$ .
- Height function h(x, t).
- Initial Conditions.